

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/789,678	02/27/2004	Donald M. Besemer	1067.1H	7548	
60335 75	90 04/19/2006	EXAMINER			
OLIVER ASHE / GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			HANDY, DWAYNE K		
	1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1743		
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006	DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/789,678	BESEMER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dwayne K. Handy	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	anuary 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 141-167 is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>141-167</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	<u></u>					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
<ul> <li>Notice of Draisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/30/06</u>.</li> </ul>		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

خرت ريد Application/Control Number: 10/789,678

Art Unit: 1743

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 141-167 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This rejection was previously applied to claims 141-163. It now applies to claims 164-167 as well. Please see Response to Arguments below.

#### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 1/30/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has basically argued the following: Figure 18 shows an embodiment of the chip package that places the substrate (120) over the well such that the substrate seals the well or cavity. The Examiner agrees with this assertion. Applicant then argues that the substrate has probes that are recognized by a target and that fluid containing the targets may be flowed over the substrate while in the holder. The Examiner again agrees with these assertions.

Application/Control Number: 10/789,678

Art Unit: 1743

Applicant then argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the probes are necessarily located on the surface of the substrate based on these embodiments and the deduction that the probes MUST be on the closed side of the well or cavity in order to contact the fluids The Examiner disagrees with this assertion. The Examiner notes that the only embodiment in which Applicant has even somewhat defined the location of the probes on the substrate is for the embodiment shown in Figures 27 A and 27B. These are completely different embodiments than shown in Figures 18-26 and Figures 31-35. In addition, Figures 18-22 do not even contain a teaching or suggestion of having a set of chemical reagents inside the closed cell as required by the claims. They seem to merely show a chip holder that does not require the features recited in the claims. Also, given that Applicant's own disclosure states that the chips are breakable, the Examiner submits that fluid contact with probes on the outer substrate may be achieved by breaking the chip and placing it in the cavity before adding the fluids. Therefore, the Examiner disagrees that the probes MUST be on the inside of the closed cell based solely on the requirement that the chip and chip holder be able to allow fluids to contact the probes on the substrate or chip.

#### Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/789,678

Art Unit: 1743

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (571)-

272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DKH

April 17, 2006

Page 4